

I think it is a violation of the public airwaves to allow Sinclair Broadcasting to broadcast an anti-Kerry documentary without granting equal time in a separate television show to the pro-Kerry people, just 2 weeks before the election. The anti-Kerry show is NOT news, it is political speech, and very likely propaganda - any 3rd grader knows that. Furthermore, it is NOT news when people, who have traceable links with the Bush people, allege that this-or-that happened 33 years ago. There is no access for adequate, widely-seen rebuttal when Sinclair Broadcasting is allowed to just blow what very well may be lies and distortions out all over swing-state voting districts.

What are you allowing America to descend to? A totalitarian regime? Sinclair itself is tied in with military contracts favored by the U.S. Administration currently in power. Whatever happened to the fairness doctrine of the FCC which helped make this country great? Can't you stop this outrage? Of course you can! This is a PERFECT EXAMPLE OF WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU PERMIT MEDIA CONSOLIDATION.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get what's good for them and but what's death for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.